

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-529 of 2016

Decided on: 25.07.2016

Anuradha and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate, for
Mr. Krishan Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Jatinder Singh Riar, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

RITU BAHRI, J (ORAL)

Quashing of FIR No. 257 dated 28.10.2010, under Sections 406/498-A IPC, registered at Police Station, City Mansa (Annexure P-1) is sought on the basis compromise dated 24.11.2015 (Annexure P-3).

The F.I.R was registered on the basis of complaint made by complainant-respondent No.2-Vandana against the co-accused Naval Kishore-petitioner No.2 to the effect that her marriage with him was solemnized on 28.02.2008. The accused persons pressurized the parents of respondent No.2 to pay a sum of Rs.7 lacs at the time of Sagan Ceremony for construction over a plot at Patiala which is in the name of co-accused Naval Kishore. Father of respondent No.2 gave a sum of Rs. 7 lacs to Brij Lal-petitioner No.3. Accused petitioners used to demand money from her for construction over a plot and dowry articles were also confiscated by the

accused persons. It was also alleged in the complaint that other accused persons threatened to kill her on many occasions and beat her. For this reason, respondent No.2 is living with her daughter at Mansa. In this background, the FIR was registered.

During the pendency of the trial, with the intervention of respectable persons of the locality, the matter has now been resolved between the co-accused and respondent No.2 vide compromise dated 24.11.2015 (Annexure P-3).

In compliance with the order dated 05.04.2016 passed by this Court, the parties got recorded their statements before the trial Court. Report from the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Mansa, has been received in this regard. As per report, Vandana-respondent No.2 (complainant) made her statement on 28.04.2016 that she has compromised the matter with the co-accused without any pressure, coercion or fear. She has no objection if, the above said FIR is quashed. Statement of Anuradha-petitioner and other accused persons were also recorded to the same effect. In view of separate statements of the parties, the court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429** and the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 257 dated 28.10.2010, under Sections 406/498-A IPC, registered at Police Station, City Mansa is quashed with all

consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

July 25, 2016

Dinesh

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE