

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No. M-6366 of 2015

Date of decision : April 22, 2016

Neena Sharma @ Anjali Sharma

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and anr.

....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Fateh Deep Singh

Present : Mr. GS Guri, Advocate, for the petitioner
Mr. Jasjeet Dhaliwal, DAG, Punjab, for the respondent
Mr. Hardeep Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.2

Fateh Deep Singh, J. (Oral)

Report dated 26.2.2016 of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, SAS Nagar, Mohali has been received after recording statements of accused Neena Sharma @ Anjali Sharma as well as complainant Bakshish Singh and the learned court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise is an outcome of voluntariness, free will and without any coercion or undue pressure. In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court and in view of the fact that compromise will go a long way in resolving the personal dispute and to bring about personal harmony being money dispute and more-so the offences for which the accused have been booked are not of very serious nature and in view of the law laid down in **Gian Singh vs State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **Kulwinder Singh and others vs State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** and in view of which the prayer made in the petition is

allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No. 55 dated 25.5.2012 registered at Police Station Matour, District SAS Nagar, Mohali under sections 406, 420 IPC and lateron charges were framed under sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC and 24 Immigration Act and all consequences arising thereof are quashed, qua the present petitioner.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

April 22, 2016
'tiwana'

(Fateh Deep Singh)
Judge