

202

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-5093 of 2016

Date of decision: July 04, 2016

Manish alias Munim

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Vikram Satpal Anand, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gazi Mohd. Addl. AG Punjab.

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Learned State counsel states that the prosecution has already examined four witnesses out of total 35 witnesses and remaining witnesses would be examined by the prosecution before the trial Judge.

The case relates to dacoity and murder and therefore, I am not inclined to extend the benefit of bail to the petitioner. Hence, I make the following order:-

(i) Petition stands dismissed.

(ii) The learned trial Judge is directed to expedite the trial and complete the same within six months from today.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

July 04, 2016

mahavir