

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(207)

CRM-M-5006-2016

Decided on: May 05, 2016.

Santosh Kumari

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur Athwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. B.D. Sharma, Advocate, for the complainant.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

On the instructions of ASI Paramjit Singh, learned State counsel informs that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the complainant has intervened to oppose application for pre-arrest bail contending that the petitioner is a lady who in the capacity as wife of Ram Asra, main accused, has duped the complainant pursuant to the agreement of sale entered into by Ram Asra on 20.02.2014 as she had sold the property in the capacity as attorney of Ram Asra.

The main accused appears to be Ram Asra. So far as the petitioner is concerned, at the most, she could be attributed the culpability of having conspired with the main accused. She being a lady, can be granted concession of pre-arrest bail.

The petition is allowed. It is ordered that in case of arrest of petitioner, she will be released on bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer subject to the following conditions:

- (1) Petitioner will not obstruct the investigation in any manner and will not conceal relevant facts required for tracing Ram Asra, the main accused;
- (2) Petitioner will join investigation as and when required by the investigating agency;
- (3) Petitioner will not indulge in similar activity of which she is accused of, during the entire period of trial; and
- (4) Petitioner will not leave India without the permission of the Court.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

May 05, 2016
harsha