

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Date of decision : May 31, 2016

1. CRM No. M-4995 of 2016

Chattar Singh and others

...Petitioners...

versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents...

2. CRM No.M-5641 of 2016

Pratham and others

...Petitioners...

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present : Mr. Mohan Singh Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM-M-4995-2016 and
for respondents No.2 to 7 in CRM-M-5641-2016.

Mr. Surinder Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Panwar, Advocate
for respondent No.2 in CRM-M-4995-2016 and
for the petitioners in CRM-M-5641-2016.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

JASPAL SINGH, J.

This order shall dispose of aforesaid two petitions i.e CRM No.

M-4995 of 2016, captioned as “*Chattar Singh and others vs. State of*

Haryana and another” and CRM No. M-5641 of 2016, captioned as

“Pratham and others vs. State of Haryana and others”.

2. CRM No. M-4995 of 2016 has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of cross case in FIR No.93, dated 09.03.2013, under Sections 148, 323, 506 and 34 IPC, Police Station Sadar Palwal, District Palwal, Haryana (Annexure P-1) and all the consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise deed dated 29.01.2016 (Annexure P-2).

3. CRM No. M-5641 of 2016, has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing FIR No.93, dated 09.03.2013, under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323, 307, 506 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, Police Station Sadar Palwal, District Palwal, Haryana (Annexure P-1) and all the subsequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise deed dated 29.01.2016 (Annexure P-2).

4. Report of learned trial Court has been received, in which, it has been categorically observed that compromise has been effected without any pressure or coercion, is valid between the parties. Even otherwise, matter involved is personal in nature, which stood amicably settled.

5. So, in view of above circumstances, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for their benefit and will bring peace and harmony between them.

6. Consequently, both petitions are allowed and FIR No.93, dated 09.03.2013, under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323, 307, 506 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, Police Station Sadar Palwal, District Palwal, Haryana, cross case in FIR No.93, dated 09.03.2013, under Sections 148, 323, 506

and 34 IPC, Police Station Sadar Palwal, District Palwal, Haryana, as well as all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioner(s).

May 31, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE