

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-4982 OF 2016
DATE OF DECISION : 18TH NOVEMBER, 2016

Dilbagh Singh & others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Salil Sabhlok, Advocate for the petitioners.
 Mr. K. S. Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.
 Mr. Tarun Vir Singh Lehal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of cross case Report No.21 dated 17.07.2011 (Annexure P-2) in FIR No.29 dated 16.07.2011 registered under Sections 324, 323, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Ghumman Kalan, District Gurdaspur (Annexure P-1), in view of affidavit/compromise (Annexure P-3).

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel appearing for respondents/complainants submits that compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had made order dated 22.07.2016 asking for recording of statement in order to find out the correctness and genuineness of the compromise said to have been arrived at between the parties.

Accordingly statements have been recorded by the trial Court and report has been received by this Court through District and Sessions Judge, Gurdaspur. As per the report the compromise is voluntary and genuine. I have seen the offences registered against the petitioners. Looking to the nature of the offence I think the compromise/compounding should be allowed.

In view of the above, I make the following order:

ORDER

- (i) CRL. MISC. No.M-4982 OF 2016 is allowed.
- (ii) The cross case Report No.21 dated 17.07.2011 (Annexure P-2) in FIR No.29 dated 16.07.2011 registered under Sections 324, 323, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Ghumman Kalan, District Gurdaspur (Annexure P-1), is quashed qua the petitioners along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

18TH NOVEMBER, 2016
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE