

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M- 4920 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.02.2016

Pardeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Vikas Mehsempuri, Advocate,
for the applicant/petitioner.

Ms. Anmol Grewal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. H.P.S.Bhinder, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA. J (ORAL)

CRM No.6222 of 2016

Instant application has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for addition of offence under Sections 326, 452 IPC in the main petition.

Notice in the application.

Mr. H.P.S. Bhinder, Advocate appears on behalf of the complainant and accepts notice. A copy of the application already stands furnished to the counsel for the complainant.

In view of the averments made in the application and upon recording no objection on the part of counsel for the complainant, prayer is allowed.

Offence under Sections 326/452 IPC are directed to be added in the main petition.

Application disposed of.

CRM No. M-4920 of 2016

Petitioner seeks concession of pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.154 dated 04.08.2010, under Sections 324, 323, 34 of Indian Penal Code (offence 326/452 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Salem Tabri, Ludhiana City.

On 11.02.2016 contention raised by counsel for the petitioner had been noticed to the effect that dispute was matrimonial in nature and same has since been settled.

During the course of hearing today, Mr. H.P.S.Bhinder, Advocate has appeared on behalf of the complainant and has affirmed the factual position as regards compromise having been entered into.

In the light of such factual position, custodial interrogation of the petitioner would not be warranted.

The present petition is allowed.

Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to the following conditions as enumerated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.:-

1. That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
2. That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the

Court or to any police officer and;

3. That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.

Disposed of.

27.02.2016

vandana

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**