

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM NO. M-4901 of 2016
DECIDED ON : APRIL 27, 2016

PARVEEN BHASIN & ORS

....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

INDERJEET BEDI

....RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present : Mr. Ms. Sheena Khanna, Advocate for
Mr. Naveen Chopra, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Neelam Kashyap, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for the respondent.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of complaint case No. 198/10 dated 16.12.2010 captioned as ***“Inderjeet Bedi v. Parveen Bhasin”*** under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 506 and 120-B IPC and summoning order dated 29.07.2013 along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

2 Report of learned trial Court has been received, wherein, it has been categorically observed that the matter has been compromised between the parties

without any fear, pressure or coercion and the same appears to be genuine. Even otherwise, matter involved in these proceedings is personal in nature, which has been amicably put at rest.

3. So, in view of circumstances narrated above as well as the observations made in cases reported as ***Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another***; 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and ***Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another (2014) 6 SCC 466***, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for the benefit of the parties and would certainly bring peace and harmony between them.

4. Consequently, instant petition stands allowed and complaint case No. 198/10 dated 16.12.2010 captioned as ***“Inderjeet Bedi v. Parveen Bhasin”*** under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 506 and 120-B IPC and summoning order dated 29.07.2013 and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua petitioners.

APRIL 27, 2016
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE