

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4863-2016

Date of decision-15.11.2016

Harvinder Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Sumer Singh Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Shilesh Gupta Addl. AG Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Verma, Advocate for the complainant.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr. P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 139 dated 22.10.2015 under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC registered at Police Station, Balachaur, District Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar.

The complainant in the FIR had *inter alia* alleged that amongst others the petitioner had purchased a part of his land which had been sold to him by way of impersonation.

A perusal of the order of this Court dated 10.02.2016 shows that while issuing notice of motion, this Court had granted interim directions that the petitioner would join the investigation on 20.02.2016 and on his doing so, he would be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer. When the matter came up on 21.03.2016, the counsel for the complainant appeared and stated that the matter has been compromised and in the light of this fact, the petitioner was again directed to join investigation on 07.05.2016. The complainant was also permitted to appear before the Investigating Officer on that date to satisfy the investigating agency that the matter had actually been compromised. A report to that extent by the Investigating Officer was ordered to be submitted.

On 14.09.2016, though the State counsel had filed a report

wherein it was stated that the matter between the petitioner and the complainant stood compromised, it was stated at the bar that the petitioner had not joined the investigation despite the orders of this Court dated 21.03.2016. On such statement, this Court gave another chance to the petitioner to join the investigation.

Learned State counsel submits that as per the chance given, the petitioner has joined the investigation. The matter stood compromised and that the petitioner is no longer needed by the prosecution.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner dated 10.02.2016 is made absolute.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

15.11.2016

kv

Whether speaking/non-speaking: Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No