

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No. M-4814 of 2016

Date of decision : 02.09.2016

Rakesh Kumr Sethi & Anr.

..... Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

with

Crl. Misc. No. M-6175 of 2016

Puru Sethi

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

**Present: Mr. V.K. Jindal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Amardeep Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

Mr. V.P.S. Sidhu, AAG Punjab.

**Mr. S.S. Bahl, Advocate
for the complainant.**

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

By way of aforesaid petitions, the petitioners Rakesh Kumar Sethi, Geeta Sethi and Puru Sethi are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 110 dated 31.12.2015, registered at Police Station Women, Ludhiana, under Sections 323, 406, 423 and 498-A IPC.

The parties were sent for mediation and a report had been received that the matter could not be settled.

Learned Senior counsel has placed on record the list of gold articles which have been returned in January and April, 2016.

Learned counsel for the complainant states that all the gold articles have not been returned and more than half of the gold is still with the petitioner.

The dispute is with respect of the return of the gold articles and other *istridhan*. The petitioners would pay/ deposit Rs.5 lacs within two months before the trial Court, which the complainant can withdraw. The petitions are allowed and orders dated 10.02.2016 and 19.02.2016 regarding interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners are made absolute. The complainant would be at liberty to seek recall of the order in case the amount is not deposited within the stipulated time by the petitioners.

September 02,2016
Jiten

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned

Yes/ No

Whether reportable

Yes/ No