

**119 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-476 of 2016

Date of Decision: January 08, 2016

Ravina and another

.... Petitioners

vs.

The State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Petitioners are in person with their counsel
Mr. Gurpreet Singh.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Petitioners, who are stated to be major, claim that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 05.01.2016. The photographs of the marriage have been placed on file as Annexure-P-4. The true typed copies of matriculation examination certificates, showing the date of birth of petitioner Nos. 1 and 2 have been placed on file as Annexures-P-1 and P-2. The marriage certificate is placed on file as Annexure P-3. It is stated that the petitioners do not fall under the prohibited degree of relationship i.e. Spinda.

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an application dated 06.01.2016 (Annexure-P-5) before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib, for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life from the private respondents.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib, to consider the application of the petitioners dated 06.01.2016 (Annexure-P-5) and assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger to the life of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

However, it is made clear that this order shall not be taken to be the proof of valid marriage.

The present petition is disposed of accordingly.

January 08, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE