

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-4753 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 09.08.2016**

Sonia

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. P.S. Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.
CRM-24333-2016

For the reasons set out in the application, same is allowed as prayed for and accompanying documents (Annexures P-6 to P-8) are allowed to be taken on record.

Crl. Misc. No.M-4753 of 2016

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to the respondents to register an FIR under Sections 406, 498-A, 324, 506 IPC, the Dowry Prohibition Act and the I.T. Act, 2005 on the basis of a complaint (Annexure P-3) given to the DGP, Chandigarh.

The counsel for the petitioner urges that an application under the R.T.I. Act had been moved and they have now found that the complaint given by them in May, 2014 after an inquiry had been filed.

The counsel was asked to make the submission regarding the

maintainability of the petition since remedy was available to the petitioner.

The counsel cites *Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC 1*.

Perusal of the documents made available by the petitioner show that the husband had filed a petition seeking divorce and had made allegations which are mentioned in para no.6 of the petition and the reason why she left the matrimonial home. The police had made an inquiry and had seen the call details and had filed the complaint. In view of this, no directions are required to be passed. The petitioner can avail the remedies available under law.

The petition is dismissed.

09.08.2016
sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No