

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Crl. Misc. No.M-4721 of 2016

Date of Decision: 11.02.2016

Pooja Khurana

....Petitioner

Versus

The Commissioner of Police and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ? (Yes/No)**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not ? (Yes/No)**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. Amit Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ritu Punj, Addl. A.G., Punjab
for the respondent-State.

Mr. B.S. Bhalla, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed for issuance of direction to respondent No.1 to conduct a fair and impartial inquiry relating to FIR No.4 dated 09.01.2016 registered under Sections 323, 354, 452 and 506 IPC at Police Station SBS Nagar, Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the inquiry of aforesaid FIR has been entrusted to Deputy Superintendent of Police, Rajpura, whereas, the FIR was registered at Ludhiana. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is being harassed by calling her time and again. He also submits that the statement of the petitioner can be recorded

by sending a lady constable as she has to go to Rajpura for recording of her statement.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that respondent No.5 is posted at Ludhiana and by considering this fact and to conduct fair inquiry, the case was sent to Rajpura. Otherwise also, the petitioner could have raised an objection that respondent No.5 is posted at Ludhiana. Learned counsel for the respondent-State has also agreed to get the statement of the complainant recorded by sending a lady constable.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the respondent-State, the present petition is disposed of accordingly.

11.02.2016
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE