

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -4714 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 28.09.2016

Rajinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Ramandeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Jain, AAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Lal Singh.

Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.62 dated 21.05.2015, registered under Section 420 IPC, at Police Station Sadar, District Hoshiarpur.

On 09.02.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Counsel would inter alia contend that the allegations pertain to misuse the sale tax number of the company M/s Singh & Company being run by Sucha Singh, complainant and thereby preparing forged bills of such company are directed against M/s Shiv Kumar Vinod Kumar. It is further contended that the present petitioner is a mere transporter running two trucks and the only

allegation pertains to one vehicle of the petitioner being used for transporting of scrap for the accused company i.e. M/s Shiv Kumar Vinod Kumar.

Notice of motion, returnable for 5.5.2016.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join investigation.

In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and he shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 09.02.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 09.02.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

28.09.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No