

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-471-2016 (O&M).

Decided on: February 19, 2016.

Hitesh Sharma

.. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Haryana

.. Respondent(s)

*** * ***

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI

*** * ***

**PRESENT Mr.J.S.Saneta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

Mr.C.S.Bakshi, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner along with Sachin and Mandeep had allegedly indulged in chain snatching. Challan against co-accused stands presented.

So far as the petitioner is concerned, he was nominated in the disclosure statements of his co-accused Sachin and Mandeep.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has joined investigation, as such, he can be granted the concession of pre-arrest bail.

I have considered the facts and circumstances of the case. The identity of the petitioner and his involvement along with two other accused who allegedly indulged in the act of chain snatching, is yet to be established during the course of investigation.

Chance of tampering with the evidence cannot be ruled out, at this stage.

I have also carefully considered the contention of counsel for the petitioner that petitioner was not arrested from the spot. The said plea may constitute good ground for the grant of regular bail but no extra ordinary exceptional circumstances exist for the grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

The petition is dismissed.

Nothing said in this order will prejudice the right of the petitioner to seek concession of regular bail in accordance with law.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**

**February 19, 2016.
rka**