

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.13352 of 2009 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 28.4.2016.

Food Corporation of India (Handling) Workers Union

.....Petitioner

Versus

Food Corporation of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Tarunveer Vashist, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Mr. K.K. Goel, Advocate for respondent No.4.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he do not want to prosecute the present petition and intends to withdraw the same with liberty to approach the official respondents with a request to make direct payment to the workers. If such a representation is submitted to the official respondents, the official respondents are directed to consider the same, in accordance with law.

With these observations, the petitioners are permitted to

withdraw this petition.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

In view of the disposal of main writ petition, the pending
CMs do not survive for consideration.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

April 28, 2016.

sandeep sethi