

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-5655 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : 23.11.2016**

Mukesh Dhir

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Geetika Verma

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Sushil Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Respondent in person with
Mr. R.K. Bansal, Advocate.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

The petitioner is seeking quashing the order vide which maintenance was granted to the respondent.

The counsel for the petitioner states that he restricts his prayer and only seeks direction to the Court below to complete the trial at the earliest. The counsel refers to the zimni orders and states that the respondent is not leading her evidence and adjournments are being given.

The zimni orders placed on file show that though the Court had granted last opportunity but successive dates are being given and the same order is being repeated. Cost were also imposed on the respondent on 07.10.2016. The case is now stated to be fixed for 18.01.2017. The respondent is present in the Court. They say that they will complete their evidence on the next date of hearing.

The respondent can initiate the process for recovery of the balance maintenance amount but it cannot delay the main petition. The trial Court is directed to complete the evidence at the earliest. The respondent would examine all her witnesses on 18.01.2017. The petitioner would also clear the arrears.

The petition is disposed of on the above terms.

23.11.2016

Sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No