

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.247

CRM No.M-5633 of 2015

DECIDED ON: September 20, 2016

TARAWATI

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Section 482 Cr.P.C., petitioner-Tarawati has sought direction to respondent Nos.2 to 5 to get a proper inquiry from any independent agency or by an officer not below the rank of IPS with regard to alleged killing of her son Yogesh Kumar against respondents No.6 to 9.

At the very outset of the arguments, it has emerged that in respect of commission of suicide/murder of Yogesh Kumar, FIR No.297, dated October 03, 2014, under Section 306 IPC, was registered against the present petitioner-Tarawati at Police Station Kanina. However, during investigation in the aforesaid FIR, the allegations could not be established, as a result whereof, the Investigating Agency has prepared the cancellation report which is likely to be presented shortly in the Court of Jurisdictional Magistrate. However, the case of the petitioner is that despite the fact that various representations including representations dated November 14, 2014 have been moved to Superintendent of Police, Narnaul, District

CRM No.M-5633 of 2015

Mahendergarh (Annexure P-2) as well as to the Director General of Police, Haryana, Police Headquarter, Sector 6, Panchkula (Annexure P-3), but till date no action has been taken on the afore-said representations. Even, a perusal of status report filed by the State transpires that there is not even a slightest reference of the aforesaid representation(s), from which, an inference can be drawn that the representations moved by the petitioner have been considered.

Thus, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-Superintendent of Police, Narnaul, District Mahendergarh to get an inquiry conducted into the allegations unfolded by the petitioner in her representations dated November 14, 2014 (Annexures P-2 & P-3) from an Officer not below the rank of DSP and if some substance is found, to take an appropriate action in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

September 20, 2016
Sham/Ankur

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No