

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

CRM M-4636 of 2016

Date of Decision: May 6, 2016

Rajiv Kumar @ Dhawan

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.

-. -

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Ms. H.K. Athwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gunjan Mehta, Advocate
for the complainant.

-. -

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

This is second consecutive date when no one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of said circumstances, there is no option before this Court except to consider the merits of the case on the basis of the allegations and the material gathered by the prosecution agency.

Petitioner is alleged to have committed theft in the house of complainant and disappeared alongwith the wife of the complainant. As per

the investigating officer, ASI Tarlochan Singh, the petitioner has neither joined investigation nor the whereabouts of the wife of the complainant and the petitioner are known.

In view of said conduct of the petitioner that he has not joined investigation despite having been granted interim protection, I do not find any extraordinary exceptional circumstances to grant the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

Petition is dismissed. Interim order earlier passed is hereby vacated.

May 6, 2016
sanjay

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE