

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 1728 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of decision: 04.05.2016

Ram Parshad

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Bikram Chaudhry, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Pawan Gaur, DAG Haryana

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has been convicted by the two Courts below under Sections 174 and 174-A IPC and sentenced to Rigorous Imprisonment for six months in addition to fine on each count. All the sentences were ordered to run concurrently.

Custody certificate filed in Court is taken on record. The perusal of same shows that the petitioner has already undergone the actual sentence of four months and three days. The short prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner is that sentence of the petitioner may be reduced to the period already undergone by him.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances and the fact that ultimately, the accused was arrested and faced trial and

sentenced, the sentence of Rigorous Imprisonment for six months under Sections 174 and 174-A IPC on each count is reduced to Rigorous Imprisonment for four months and 3 days, which is the period already undergone by him. Sentence of fine is maintained.

With this modification the revision is dismissed.

04.05.2016
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge