

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 46255 of 2016
Date of decision: 23.12.2016**

Julekha

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Chaman Lal Pawar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking issuance of directions to respondent Nos. 1 to 3 for taking appropriate action against respondent Nos. 4 to 10 on the representation filed by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that a complaint/representation (Annexure P-1) has already been preferred by the petitioner to respondent No. 3 on 16.08.2016 regarding demand of dowry and beatings against the private respondents, however, no action has been taken thereon. It is also argued that at the present moment only a limited prayer is made that the said representation be decided as expeditiously as possible.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Mikhail Kad, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Let two copies of the petition be served upon him during the course of the day. He fairly submits that the representation (Annexure P-1) will be looked into as expeditiously as possible.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a

direction to respondent No. 3 to decide the representation (Annexure P-1) preferred on 16.08.2016 in accordance with law expeditiously, preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

December 23, 2016
Ansari

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>