

CRM-M-5284-2014

DECIDED ON: JANUARY 18, 2016

BALBIR KAUR & ANR

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. D.S. Gurna, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajpreet S. Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rishav Jain, Advocate
for respondents No.4 to 14.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

Instant petition has been filed seeking directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 14.

2. There was some land dispute between the petitioners and respondents No.4 to 14, as a result of which, petitioners apprehending danger at the hands of respondents No.4 to 14 in connivance with official respondents, moved instant petition. Earlier FIR No. 50 dated August 07, 2011, under Sections 341, 323 and 34 IPC was registered at Police Station Kheri Gandian. After due investigation of the matter in respect of afore-said FIR, report under Section 173(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for brevity "Code") has

already been presented and now the trial is fixed for prosecution evidence. Even otherwise, after the filing of instant petition, proceedings under Sections 107/151 Cr.P.C were initiated against respondents No. 4 to 6 and 8 and proceedings under Sections 107/150 Cr. P.C were also initiated against respondents No.7, 9 and 10, vide DDR No. 13 dated April 17, 2014. Calandhra has already been filed in the Court of Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Rajpura, District Patiala in this regard.

3. In view of action taken by respondents No.1 to 3 as narrated above, no further action is required in the instant petition. As such, the same stands disposed of accordingly.

JANUARY 18, 2016
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE