

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-46235 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.12.2016

Jaspal Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Petitioners in person with
Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are major and there is no legal impediment to their marriage. They have solemnized marriage. Their marriage is opposed by respondent Nos.4 to 9.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete copy of the paper book has been furnished to the learned State counsel, in the Court.

At this stage, Mr. S.K. Gupta, Advocate causes representation on behalf of respondent Nos. 4 to 9 and states that the respondents have no objection to the marriage solemnized by the petitioners, however, they being the family members should be allowed to

meet the couple.

Without expressing any opinion with regard to validity of marriage and on the merits of the assertions made in the petition, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2-SSP, Hoshiarpur to take necessary action on the representation dated 21.12.2016 (Annexure P-4) moved by petitioner No.1 and provide necessary protection to the petitioners in accordance with law, in case he is satisfied that the life and liberty of the petitioners is threatened at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 9.

The learned counsel for the petitioners shall ensure that the parents of petitioner No.1 be allowed to meet the couple.

Disposed of.

23.12.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No