

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 46203 of 2016
Date of decision: 23.12.2016**

Sandeep Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Ms. Avleen Kohli, Advocate for
Ms. Gursharan K. Mann, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking issuance of directions to respondent No. 2 for taking appropriate action against respondent Nos. 3 to 5 on the representation filed by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that a complaint/representation (Annexure P-2) has already been preferred by the petitioner to respondent No. 2 on 16.09.2016, however, no action has been taken thereon. It is also argued that at the present moment only a limited prayer is made that the said representation be decided as expeditiously as possible.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Mikhail Kad, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Let two copies of the petition be served upon him during the course of the day. He fairly submits that the representation (Annexure P-2) will be looked into as expeditiously as possible.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the representation (Annexure P-2)

preferred on 16.09.2016 in accordance with law expeditiously, preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

December 23, 2016
Ansari

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>