

121

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-46201-2016

DECIDED ON: DECEMBER 23, 2016

VIKRAM SODHI

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Pushpinder Kaushal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Section 482 Cr.P.C., petitioner has sought directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to take decision upon the complaint (Annexure P-1) dated 23.05.2016 No. 848/PC/4/16, 302/5PC, filed by the petitioner, against the private respondents.

Notice of motion to official respondents at this stage only.

At the asking of Court, Mr. J.S. Sekhon, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab. Copy of the petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of day.

Mainly, the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that earlier the petitioner approached this Court by way of CRWP No. 534 of 2016 for issuance of a writ in the nature of habeas corpus for releasing the detainee namely Sharin Kapoor wife of the petitioner who was allegedly illegally detained by respondents No.4 to 7 (respondents No.5 to 8 in the present

petition) as well as seeking direction to protect the life and liberty of a child in the womb of detainee but that petition was disposed of by this Court vide order dated May 17, 2016 has having been rendered infructuous.

However, the petitioner was granted liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available to him under law. In pursuance of the aforesaid order, he filed a complaint dated 23.05.2016 (Annexure P-1) to respondent No.2 but till day, no action has been taken by the concerned authorities.

Though a period of approximately more than 7 months is going to expire, instant petition is disposed of, with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib (Punjab) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his complaint dated May 23, 2016 (Annexure P-1) and if the allegations are *prima-facie* established, to take an appropriate action in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

It is expected that the decision shall be taken by the concerned authorities without any sort of influence from any quarter.

DECEMBER 23, 2016
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*