

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-5167 of 2015 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION: 03.05.2016

Vijay Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. **Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment? (Yes/No)**
2. **To be referred to reporters or not? (Yes/No)**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ritu Punj, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Ravi Malhotra, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Crl. Misc. No. 14141 of 2016

This application has been filed for placing on record Annexures P-3 and P-4.

Application is allowed and Annexures P-3 and P-4 are taken on record.

Crl. Misc. No. M-5167 of 2015

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has joined the investigation and recovery has also been effected from him.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State on instructions from

ASI Mahadev Singh submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation

and some of the articles except six tolas of gold as alleged in the FIR have been recovered from him. Learned counsel further submits that proper bills of the gold were not shown to the investigating officer.

Learned counsel for the complainant also opposes grant of bail to the petitioner on the ground of non-recovery of dowry articles.

Admittedly, the allegations with regard to demand of dowry or handing over of some of the articles cannot be considered at this stage and the same will be tested during trial but since the petitioner has joined the investigation and some of the articles have also been recovered from him, interim order passed by this Court on 18.2.2015 is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

May 03, 2016
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE