

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 46111 of 2016
Date of decision: 23.12.2016**

Mukesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Ravinder Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking issuance of directions to respondent Nos. 2 to 5 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondent Nos. 6 to 11.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that a complaint/representation (Annexure P-4) has already been preferred by the petitioner to the Deputy Superintendent of Police on 25.10.2016 for arresting and taking legal action against the accused persons. It is also argued that at the present moment only a limited prayer is made that the said representation be decided as expeditiously as possible.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sanjay K. Saini, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Let two copies of the petition be served upon him during the course of the day. He fairly submits that the representation (Annexure P-4) will be looked into as expeditiously as possible.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 4 to decide the representation (Annexure P-4)

preferred on 25.10.2016 in accordance with law expeditiously, preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

December 23, 2016
Ansari

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>