

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc.No.M-46105 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 22nd December, 2016**

Rinki and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Mahesh Saxena, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel states that in the representation (Annexure P-5) as well as in the memo of parties, the name of respondent No.2 has inadvertently been mentioned as Senior Superintendent of Police instead of Superintendent of Police and prays that the same may be corrected.

Allowed as prayed for. Registry is directed to make necessary correction.

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is for issuance of a direction to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and not to harass them at the instance of respondent Nos.4 to 6.

The petitioners have got married against the wishes of their parents. They are stated to be major. In support of proof of age petitioner

Criminal Misc.No.M-46105 of 2016 (O&M)

-2-

No.1 has placed on record copy of 'Adhar' card (Annexure P-1) wherein her date of birth is mentioned as 15.04.1997 and petitioner No.2 has also placed on record copy of 'Adhar' card (Annexure P-2) wherein his date of birth is mentioned as 06.02.1992. They have also moved a representation before the Superintendent of Police, Hisar (Annexure P-5) for protection of their life and liberty.

Without adverting to the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Hisar to take appropriate action on the said representation (Annexure P-5).

Since the main case has been decided, the pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

22nd December, 2016

mamta

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No