

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-5084 of 2015
DECIDED ON: MAY 12, 2016

JOGINDER KAUR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH.

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr. J.S. Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Sekhon, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate
for respondents No.5 and 6.

None for respondent No.7.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

Instant petition has been preferred under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking directions to respondent No.2 to direct respondent No.4 not to succumb to the political pressure being exerted by Respondent No.7.

In pursuance to issuance of notice of motion, learned State counsel filed reply in the shape of an affidavit of Jaswant Singh, DSP, Investigation, Tarn Taran on behalf of respondents No.1 to 6. A perusal of preliminary submissions of the reply transpires that after due investigation by

Superintendent of Police, Detective, Tarn Taran FIR registered in this case has been found to be based on false facts. On the basis of said enquiry cancellation report was prepared on December 20, 2014, which has already been submitted in the Court of learned Illaqa Magistrate, Patti on June 11, 2015.

In view of submission of cancellation report, instant petition has rendered infructuous and as such the same is disposed of.

However, petitioner is at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available to her under the law.

MAY 12, 2016
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE