

240

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-7603-2013 (O&M)
Date of Decision: February 2, 2016**

SURINDER KAUR

..... Petitioner

VS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

It is 3.20 p.m. The learned counsel for the petitioner is not present. Even on 16.09.2015 and 19.11.2015, no one had put in appearance for the petitioner.

Learned State counsel has pointed out that after framing of the charges, prosecution witnesses have also been examined.

Dismissed for want of prosecution.

**(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE**

February 2, 2016.

Suresh Kumar