

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-44492 of 2015 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION: 16.03.2016

Joginder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. **Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment? (Yes/No)**
2. **To be referred to reporters or not? (Yes/No)**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. S.K. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ritu Punj, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. S.S. Bhinder, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Reply on behalf of respondent No.2 has been filed in Court today and the same is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of order passed by this Court on 1.1.2016, the petitioner has surrendered before the trial Court and has joined the Court proceedings.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that earlier the petitioner has misused the concession of bail and left India without prior permission of the Court. He further submits that the main accused i.e. husband of daughter of the complainant is a proclaimed offender.

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes that the petitioner will not misuse the concession of bail any further and will also not leave the country without prior permission of the Court. He also submits that the petitioner will abide by the term and condition, if any, to be imposed by this Court or by the trial Court.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and also the fact that the petitioner has surrendered before the trial Court and has undertaken not to leave India without prior permission of the Court and also not to misuse the concession of bail, interim order dated 1.1.2016 passed by this Court is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

However, it is made clear that in case petitioner leaves India without prior permission or intimation to the trial Court, the protection granted to him shall stand cancelled. The trial Court is also at liberty to impose any of the terms and conditions upon the petitioner, as it deems fit.

March 16, 2016
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE