

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(201)

CRM-M-44491-2015 (O&M)

Decided on: July 27, 2016.

Sumitra

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Hemant Bassi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Bakhshi, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

On the instructions of SI Vinod Kumar, it has been informed that the petitioner has joined investigation.

When it was specifically asked if the petitioner is involved in any other case of similar nature, it has been informed by State counsel that in the area of Haryana, the petitioner is not involved in any other case.

Taking into consideration the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner being a lady having joined investigation, she can be granted the concession of pre-arrest bail.

The petition is allowed. It is ordered that in case of arrest of petitioner, she will be released on bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer subject to the conditions that she will join investigation as and when required; she will not tamper with the evidence or hamper the investigation in any manner; she will make available the entire record available with her

as and when required by the investigating agency and she will not commit similar offence of which she is accused of, during the entire period of trial. In case of violation of any of the conditions, it will be open to the prosecution agency to seek cancellation of the bail.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

July 27, 2016
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No