

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-44440-2015

Date of Decision: January 5, 2016

Gurnam Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr.Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

.....

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied if respondent No.2, i.e. the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, is directed to decide the representation, Annexure P2, in a time bound manner.

After hearing the learned counsel for the applicant and going through the material available on record, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, respondent No.2, to decide the representation,

Annexure P2, within two months of receipt of certified copy of the present order in accordance with law.

January 5, 2016
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE