

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB
AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.**

TAPINDER SINGH MANN
20/04/2016 17:44

Cr. Misc. M 44434 of 2015
Date of decision: 23.4.2016

Dr. Mamta

Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

Respondent

Present: Mr. SK Garg Narwana, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Karan Garg, Advocate.
Mr. CS Bakshi, Addl.A.G. Hry

M.M.S.BEDI,J.

A perusal of the police file indicates that the allegation against the petitioner is that she has allegedly directed the tout to take the decoy patient to sex determination centre. It does not appear to be a case of custodial interrogation as the petitioner herself has not committed any specific offence under the Pre-conception and Pre-natal Diagnostic Techniques (Prohibition of Sex Selection) Act, 1994. No recovery is to be made from the petitioner.

The petition is allowed and it is ordered that in case of arrest of the petitioner, she will be released on bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer subject to the condition that the petitioner will join the investigation as and when required by the police and will not tamper with evidence or hamper the investigation. The petitioner will not enter into any conspiracy for commission of similar offence, of which she is accused of. In case of violation of any of the above said conditions, it will be open to the prosecution agency to seek cancellation of bail.

April 23 ,2016
TSM

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE