

Sr. No. 215

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-44384 of 2015
Date of Decision: 02.02.2016**

Parminder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. A.K.Khunger, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Manpreet Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA. J (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.46 dated 08.12.2015 under Sections 420/34 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Lakhewali, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Perusal of the FIR would reveal that there are two complainants, namely, Manjit Kaur and Ramandeep Kaur. Allegations are with regard to a sum of Rs.80,000/- having been taken from the two complainants to facilitate their appointment as Clerks in a Suvidha Centre.

The complainants have further alleged that they had been induced to part with such sum of money on being introduced with the

accused/present petitioner by one Baba Angrej Singh.

Counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that it is a case of false implication and the petitioner is earning her livelihood by working as a clerk with an advocate and as such there would be no occasion for the complainants to give any amount to the petitioner on the pretext of getting a job.

While issuing notice of motion, the following order was passed by this Court on 29.12.2015:

“Contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated at the behest of her husband with whom there is a marital discord.

Notice of motion for 2.2.2016.

In the meantime, arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed, subject to the following conditions:-

- i) that she shall make herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- ii) that she shall not directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- iii) that she shall not leave India without the prior permission to the Court.*

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Major Singh has apprised the Court that petitioner has since joined investigation. State counsel further submits that co-accused Baba Angrej Singh has already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail.

Under such circumstances, custodial interrogation of the

petitioner would not be warranted.

Petition is allowed. Order dated 29.12.2015, passed by this Court, is made absolute.

Disposed of.

02.02.2016

vandana

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**