

201-A

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-No.44474 of 2014
Date of decision-25.01.2016.**

Dr. Sonu Mavi

...Petitioner

VS

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. M.K Dogra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Ram Bilas Gupta, Advocate for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of orders passed on various dates by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation whereas Learned State counsel submits that the recovery has not been effected so far. Earlier, bail was granted to the present petitioner as there was possibility of settlement between the parties. The petitioner has not even made any effort to settle the dispute inspite of various opportunities given by this Court.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Madan Singh submits that the petitioner has joined investigation and handed over the articles but the same have not been accepted by the complainant on the ground that they do not belong to her.

Learned counsel for the complainant also submits that the vehicle which was given at the time of marriage has not been recovered as yet. Learned State Counsel submits that during inquiry the vehicle was found to have been

purchased by Pradeep who has been declared innocent and he is paying instalments of the same.

Since the petitioner has joined investigation, the interim order passed by this Court on 29.12.2014 is made absolute.

Disposed of accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

January 25, 2016
vanita