

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.44329 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 06.04.2016

Deepak Singla

..... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Tarun Dhingra, Advocate for
Mr. Sunil Kumar Tandon, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State assisted by ASI Nirmal Singh.

Mr. Gauravjeet Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Jastej Singh, Advocate for the informant.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (Oral)

The prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. to the petitioner/accused-Deepak Singla in case FIR No.682 dated 30.10.2015, under Sections 420, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, registered with Police Station City Jagadhri, District Yamuna Nagar.

As per the allegations, the petitioner-accused posed himself as Chairman of the Urban Development Authority, Chandigarh and obtained a sum of Rs.10 lacs for allotment of HUDA plot at Ambala. He is also alleged to have supplied a forged and fabricated allotment letter by Whatsapp to the complainant.

It was contended that the petitioner is a 24 years old resident and a neighbour of the complainant and, therefore, the allegations on the face of it are unbelievable.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and has co-operated in the investigation.

Learned State Counsel, assisted by ASI Nirmal Singh, submits that although the petitioner has joined the investigation, however, he is not cooperating. He further submits that neither the recovery has been got effected by the petitioner nor he is furnishing his place of residence. The recovery of the forged and fabricated allotment letter as conveyed in the Whatsapp has been recovered by the U.P. Police in another FIR, registered against the petitioner. He further submits that the petitioner is also involved in two other similar cases.

Learned Counsel for the complainant has also been heard at length.

After hearing learned Counsel for the parties, it is apparent that the petitioner is not cooperating in the investigation and his custodial interrogation would be required for fair and proper investigation.

Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

April 06, 2016
Gagan

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE