

106.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-45242-2016

Date of decision:17.12.2016

RAJINDER KUMAR

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Navjot Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the relief claimed in the instant petition is against the State of Haryana, but inadvertently, in the memo of parties, it has been mentioned as State of Punjab. He seeks amendment in the memo of parties.

On his request, memo of parties is ordered to be amended. The reference made to State of Punjab in the memo of parties be read as State of Haryana. Registry to do the needful.

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondent No.4. Further direction has also been sought that respondents No.2 to 3 be directed to decide the representation dated 15.10.2016 (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of judgment passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in **ICICI Bank Limited Versus**

cannot be taken away in the manner in which it has been taken. A set procedure is required to be adopted. For the illegal act committed at the behest of respondent No.4, petitioner has submitted a representation dated 15.10.2016 (Annexure P-1) to Senior Superintendent of Police, Karnal.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Arun Kumar, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of these respondents.

Let requisite number of copies of paper book be given to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

Without commenting on the merits of the case, present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Karnal to look into the contents of representation dated 15.10.2016 (Annexure P-1) and decide the same in accordance with law, preferably within a period of one month from today.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

17.12.2016
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No.
Yes/No.