

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-45166 of 2016
DECIDED ON: December 17, 2016

AKASHDEEP SANDAL

.....PETITIONER..

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS..

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Sarju Puri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

Notice of motion to the respondents-State only, at this stage.

2. On the asking of Court, Mr. J.S. Sekhon, AAG, Punjab has accepted notice on behalf of respondent-State. Copy of the petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

3. Though, by virtue of instant petition preferred under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, "Code"), the petitioner has sought directions to respondents-State to safeguard his life and liberty at the hands of official as well as private respondents as he is allegedly being summoned time and again at odd hours in the Police Station by respondent No.3-Deputy Superintendent of Police, Nawanshahr as well as respondent No.5-Station House Officer, Police Station Sector 39, Chandigarh. However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is given to official respondents to ensure that he is not unduly put to harassment

by repeatedly calling him to the Police Station at odd hours and further that in case, he is required in any criminal complaint/case registered against him, he be served with a notice as required under Section 160 of the Code.

4. Earlier also, parents of the petitioner moved **CRM-M-41451-2016** captioned as ***“Roshan Lal and others vs. State of Punjab and others”*** on the similar allegations, which is disposed of by this Court vide order dated 21.11.2016.

5. The instant petition is also disposed of in the same terms and conditions as reflected in the above referred order dated 21.11.2016 passed in CRM-M-41451-2016.

December 17, 2016

sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**

Whether reportable: **Yes/No**