

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-45128 of 2016
Date of Decision:16.12.2016

Diksha Thakur and anrPetitioners
Versus
State of U.T. Chandigarh and ors ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present: Ms. Harpinder Kaur Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SNEH PRASHAR, J.(Oral)

Petitioners duly identified by the counsel are present.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners are major and there is no legal impediment to their marriage. The requisite documents of their age proof are appended with this petition as Annexures P-1 and P-2 (Aadhaar Cards). They have solemnized marriage. Their marriage is opposed by respondents No.4 to 6, who are the family members of petitioner No.1.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Ms. Ashima Mor, APP, U.T. Chandigarh accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Without expressing any opinion with regard to validity of marriage and on the merits of the allegations made, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2, Superintendent of Police,

Chandigarh that in case the petitioners move an application before him

seeking protection for their life and liberty, he will look into the matter and provide necessary protection to them, if he is satisfied that the life and liberty of the petitioners is in danger at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

December 16, 2016
rashmi

(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>