

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

.....

Criminal Misc. No.M-44169 of 2015

.....

Date of decision:28.3.2016

Harjit Singh

.....Petitioner

v.

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

....

**Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Inderjit Singh**

.....

Present: Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arshdeep S. Klar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab for the respondent-State.

Mr. Balvinder Singh Brar, Advocate for complainant-respondent No.2.

.....

**Inderjit Singh, J.**

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for quashing of FIR No.77 dated 19.6.2011 (Annexure-P.1) registered for the offences under Sections 279, 338 and 427 IPC at Police Station Bhogpur, Tehsil and District Jalandhar and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (Annexure-P.2).

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant-Manohar Singh on the allegations that the accused-petitioner turned the vehicle towards them in a splash, resultantly, the complainant turned his car to his extreme left on the unpaved way, but the driver of XLO banged his vehicle head on in their car as a result of which the driver side of their car

[2]

got damaged from the front side and the complainant sustained grievous injuries, his right disc got fractured and also received injuries upon his head, lips and upon his left knee. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before learned trial Court for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. After doing the needful, learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar, has sent her report dated 16.3.2016 submitting that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

Learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant-respondent No.2 admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab and learned counsel for complainant-respondent No.2 and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After

[3]

considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Therefore, keeping in view the fact that the matter has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Cr.) 543, this petition is allowed and FIR No.77 dated 19.6.2011 (Annexure-P.1) registered for the offences under Sections 279, 338 and 427 IPC at Police Station Bhogpur, Tehsil and District Jalandhar and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are hereby quashed.

March 28, 2016.

**(Inderjit Singh)**  
**Judge**

\*hsp\*