

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

120

CRM-M-45080-2016 (O&M).

Decided on: December 19, 2016.

Sandeep Bhatia and Another

.. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondent(s)

* * *

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI

* * *

PRESENT Mr.Raj Kumar Bhatia, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioners submits that in cross-examination the complainant has admitted that there is no documentary proof regarding the money transactions.

After hearing the counsel for the petitioners, I am of the opinion that the present petition for quashing of charges by appreciation of evidence cannot be entertained.

The petition is not maintainable. However, the petitioners may avail any benefit at final stage, if any, accrues from the evidence on record.

Dismissed with above said observations.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

December 19, 2016.

rka

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable:

Yes / No
Yes / No