

CRM-M-45043 of 2016

[1]

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 112

CRM No.M-45043 of 2016

Date of decision: December 16, 2016

Amandeep Kaur Gill

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. I.P.S. Kohli, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the Criminal Miscellaneous petition preferred under Section 482 Cr.P.C., petitioner has sought direction to the official respondents to perform their duties in a fair and impartial manner & further carry out impartial investigation on the applications (Annexures P-3 to P-6) filed by the petitioner against the private respondent Nos.7 & 8.

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that on December 08, 2016 at about 1 p.m. private respondents accompanied by 10-15 unknown persons attacked and illegally trespassed into the office of the petitioner while armed with weapons, illegally and forcibly looted a sum of Rs.35 lac along with other important documents and Log books of sales. Though, the matter was reported to the Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar, Mohali and SHO concerned & other police officials, but no

CRM-M-45043 of 2016

[2]

action has been taken.

3. No doubt, the petitioner has placed on record the copies of complaints (Annexures P-3, P-4 & P-6) which are stated to have been lodged with the office of Director General of Police, Punjab, Sector -9, Chandigarh, Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar, Mohali and Station House Officer, Police Station Derabassi, Mohali, but learned counsel for the petitioner could not produce the vernacular of these documents. These are only the few true copies attested by the Advocate of the petitioner, but she has failed to produce the copies of the original, which are stated to be sent to the police authorities. The copies of complaints were required just to ascertain, whether in fact, these complaints have been received in the office of the concerned authorities. Moreover, till date neither any FIR has been registered. Moreover, these complaints are alleged to have been lodged on December 09, 2016 and even a period of 7 days has not elapsed. If, no action has been taken within a reasonable period only then the direction, if any, is required to the concerned authorities be given. At this stage, this Court does not find any reason to issue any directions. Accordingly, the petition stands dismissed.

December 16, 2016

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No