

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.44202 of 2014
Date of decision: 4th August, 2016

Soreb Walia

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Vikram Anand, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurveer Sidhu, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent No.1.

Mr. GBS Gill, Advocate for respondent No.2.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J

Report of Mediator dated 02.06.2016 received intimating
that the dispute between parties has been amicably settled.

In this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. petitioner Soreb Walia who is an accused in this case got registered by way of FIR No.43 dated 09.04.2013 under Sections 406/420 IPC at Police Station Bagha Purana, District Moga lodged at the behest of respondent Bhinder Kaur on the allegations that the petitioner accused is carrying on business while residing in Australia along with one Kulwinder Pal Singh Dhami who is running a firm M/s Career Abroad Consultants Private Limited at Jalandhar and who have entered into a partnership with the firm of present petitioner namely PAM Travels in which sister Amrita Toor @ Rubi and brother-in-law Avtar Singh Toor of Kulwinder Pal Singh

Dhami are also partners. They had been sending students abroad on fraudulent pretext for migration regarding which another FIR No.131 dated 01.09.2010 under Sections 420/406 IPC earlier had been registered with Police Station City Kapurthala at the behest of Kulwinder Pal Singh Dhami against Soreb Walia and others for having embezzled the amount of their business.

Present case was registered by Bhinder Kaur respondent No.2 against Soreb Walia on the grounds of fraud on the pretext of sending her abroad. It is during the course of proceedings seeking quashing of this FIR on merits the matter was referred to Mediator as the parties admittedly prayed for the same and had other disputes to sort out. In the light of the settlement arrived at between the parties, in view of report dated 02.06.2016 of the mediator the present petition has become infructuous and stands dismissed as nothing survives in the same. However, the petitioner and private respondent No.2 shall be at liberty to get the FIR in question and proceedings arising thereof quashed having recourse to the separate petition under relevant provisions of law.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

August 4, 2016

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No