

**242 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-44201 of 2014
Date of Decision: May 03, 2016**

Gurdev Singh **Petitioner**

vs.

State of Punjab and others **Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Paul, DAG, Punjab.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Heard.

Gurdev Singh, petitioner, who is 81 years of age has claimed in the present petition that respondent Nos.4 and 5, who happen to be Assistant Sub Inspector and Head Constable at Police Station Nathana, District Bathinda, respectively, had thrashed and harassed the petitioner after entering his house. The details of the occurrence have been mentioned in the petition, which need not to be reproduced for the purpose of disposal of the present petition.

Respondent Nos.1 to 3 in the reply has taken the stand that the occurrence did not take place as claimed. Rather the petitioner received injuries due to some other reason. They further stated in the reply that FIR No.139, dated 26.08.2014 under Section 376 IPC was registered on the statement of Manjit Kaur against Gurpreet Singh. Petitioner being the Ex-Sarpanch was pressurizing

the prosecutrix for effecting compromise and when prosecutrix filed complaint against him, the present respondent Nos.4 and 5 entertained the said matter.

I am of the view that in view of the allegations and counter allegations, the matter needs to be investigated by some agency outside Bathinda District, where respondent Nos.4 and 5 were posted. Therefore, the investigation of DDR No.17, dated 11.12.2014 (Annexure P-3) is transferred to State Investigation Bureau with direction to verify the version and counter version and file the final report before the court concerned.

Disposed of.

May 03, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE