

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-44078 of 2015

Date of decision:- September 19, 2016

RAJ KUMAR

.....PETITIONER..

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS..

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present:- Mr. M.S. Kathuria, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Neelam Kashyap, DAG, Haryana.

JASPAL SINGH, J.(Oral)

Through instant petition preferred under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, petitioner has sought direction to respondent No.1 to further direct respondent No.2 for taking action against respondent No.3 for not discharging his duties as per the procedure by not arresting respondent No.4, in FIR No. 72, dated 24.02.2015, under Sections 307, 120-B, 34 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, Police Station City Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, it has been pointed out by learned State counsel that efforts are being made to arrest respondent No.4 and in that regard, red corner notice has already been issued as respondent No.4 appears to have fled away from India. Moreover, after the completion

of investigation, report under Section 173 (2) Cr.P.C. has already been presented against Sudesh, co-accused of respondent No.4.

3. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the instant petition has rendered infructuous. As such, the same stands disposed of accordingly.

September 19, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reasonable: Yes/No