

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH***

**Criminal Misc. No. M-4502 of 2016  
Date of Decision:-07.10.2016**

Arjun

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

Present:- Mr. Mohd. Yousaf, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, Deputy A.G., Punjab.

**HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)**

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.183 dated 11.12.2015, under Section 379-B IPC, registered at Police Station Division No.1, Jalandhar, District Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the name of the petitioner does not figure in the FIR and it is only on the basis of the statement of the co-accused Sachin, the name of the petitioner has come into picture.

Vide order dated 8.2.2016 this Court has issued interim direction that the petitioner will join the investigation and in case he join the investigation, he will be released on interim bail subject to satisfaction

of the Arresting Officer.

Since the petitioner had not co-operated in the investigation, this Court vide order dated 2.8.2016 once again directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer on 3.8.2016 at 11:00 AM to join investigation.

On instructions from HC Narinder Kumar, learned State counsel states that the petitioner has joined the investigation but not co-operated.

The allegations against the petitioner as per FIR are that on 10.12.2015 when the complainant along with Surinder Kumar was going on a motor-cycle after withdrawing Rs.9,00,000/- from the bank, which was salary of the workers of the factory, the complainant and Surinder Kumar were attacked by two unknown persons, who were standing on the road. Due to that Surinder Kumar fell down from the motor-cycle and the complainant was attacked with *datar*. Thereafter, the accused persons snatched the cash bag from the complainant and fled away with one more person.

Taking into consideration the serious allegations against the petitioner coupled with the fact that the petitioner has not co-operated in the investigation, he does not deserve the relief of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

October 07, 2016  
Vijay Asija

( HARI PAL VERMA )  
JUDGE

|                           |          |
|---------------------------|----------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes / No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes / No |