

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 43980 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 05.01.2016

Harwinder Kaur @ Simarjit Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Sushil Kumar Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Sidhu, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for the respondent/State assisted by SI Dharam Pal.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Prayer is for grant of regular bail on behalf of the accused-Harwinder Kaur @ Simarjit Kaur, 42 years old lady, in case FIR No. 107, dated 01.10.2015 for offences punishable under Sections 323, 325, 326, 452, 307, 506 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25/54/59, Arms Act, registered at Police Station Rori, District Sirsa.

As per allegations, the complainant-Amandeep Kaur is married to Gurpreet Singh, the injured Karamjit Kaur, who is the *Chachi* of her husband, stays with them. In the adjoining house, her husband's *Bua* i.e. accused-Harwinder Kaur alongwith her husband/accused-Harvansh Singh resides. There is stated to be a property dispute between two set of relatives over the land of Karamjeet Kaur. On the day of occurrence, accused-Harvansh Singh and the petitioner/accused-Harwinder Kaur are alleged to have entered the house of the complainant. Accused-Harvansh Singh is alleged to have fired upon Karamjeet Kaur which missed her person and have given a brick blow

on the injured Karamjeet Kaur. The present accused/petitioner is alleged to have inflicted brick blow on the right arm of the injured Karamjeet Kaur.

It is contended that the injury attributed to the petitioner is simple in nature and the petitioner is stated to be in custody since 13.10.2015 and the challan is stated to have been presented.

Learned State Counsel, assisted by SI Dharampal, does not refute the aforesaid factual contention.

Without commenting on the merits of the case, keeping in view the facts noticed above; role attributed to the petitioner; custody period of the petitioner and the investigation qua the petitioner is over, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner/accused in custody, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

Disposed of.

January 05, 2016

'dk kamra'

**(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE**