

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-43909 of 2015

Date of decision: 27.01.2016

Satpal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. S. S. Dinarpur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sourabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana
assisted by HC Sunny.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

In this third petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in case FIR No.45, dated 11.02.2013, registered under Sections 376B/376/342/292/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code read with Section 417/292-A IPC & 66-A of the Information of Technology Act, 2000 and 4/6/14 of POSCO Act, at Police Station Sadar, District Rohtak.

It is contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further states that there is no allegation of rape against the petitioner.

On the other hand, learned State counsel vehemently opposes the bail and refers to the statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. of the prosecutrix-Lalita, wherein specific allegation of rape has been levelled against the petitioner.

Photocopy of statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. of the prosecutrix is taken on record as Mark 'A'.

Heard.

Considering the role of the petitioner, nature and gravity of the offence, no case is made out for bail at this stage.

Dismissed.

27.01.2016

ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE