264

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-43898 of 2015
Date of decision : 26.07.2016

Darshna and another

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

UT of Chandigarh and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. A.S. Bhullar, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. G.S. Chahal, Addl. P.P.
UT, Chandigarh.

Mr. Anuj Kumar Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No. 2.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed on the basis of the compromise Annexure P-2 reached between the parties. In pursuance of the submission, parties were directed to appear before the trial Court for recording their statements with regard to compromise. Petitioners Darshana Rani and Pooja appeared before learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Chandigarh on 15.07.2016. However, the complainant did not support the compromise.

Sumit Srivastava
2016.08.09 10:10
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

The learned counsel for the complainant states that though the petitioners have paid the rent, harassment of the complainant at the hands of the petitioners has not ceased and they have repeatedly breached the compromise reached between the parties. The present petition has been filed on the basis of compromise which is not admitted. Moreover, the Court feels that no case for quashment of the FIR is made out.

Dismissed.

26.07.2016 **(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**
Sumit Sri **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No

Sumit Srivastava
2016.08.09 10:10
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document